

ASHINGTON PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA & SCORING PART 1 – preliminary assessment

Ashington Parish Council is looking at the potential availability of land for a range of uses across Ashington Neighbourhood Plan Designated Area (Ashington Parish) up to 2031. This exercise is being undertaken as part of the evidence base to support the preparation of Ashington Neighbourhood Plan.

Sites submitted to the Parish Council will be in the public domain and the information submitted will not be treated as confidential.

Please provide as many details as possible as this will help give an accurate assessment of your site – eg if your site lies partly within/out the BUAB, is partly brownfield/greenfield etc then give us FULL details.

We may contact you to clarify your scoring and reserve the right to challenge and amend where it is justified.

Part 1 Preliminary Assessment is intended to 'screen out' those sites that are not in compliance with the Horsham District Council HDPF and these sites will not be taken further in the Neighbourhood Plan. Sites 'passing' Part 1 will be subject to more detailed assessment and landowners/agents will be required to provide detailed plans for potential development of the site. Part 2 assessment will determine how the proposed development will deliver benefit to Ashington.

Deadline for submission of this form = 7TH DECEMBER 2016

To be completed by the landowner/agent/Developer:

Site name & address, attach red-line map
PARISH COUNCIL LAND ON LONDON ROAD (GREEN SHED LAND)

Gross area (ha):

0.077ha

Is the site available for development? Give timescales eg 0-5years, 6-10 years, 11-15 years, 20+ years.

0-5 YEARS

Are there any constraints on development?

CURRENTLY LEASED

Name & contact details of titled owner and their agent:

ASHINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

Has the site been submitted to HDC Strategic Planning (SHELAA) for assessment? SHLAA reference number: NO

Complete the table:

	piete the table:	Τ.,		
No	Criteria	Measures	Score	Comments
1	Within Built Up Area Boundary	Yes = G	G	
		No, abuts BUAB = A		
		No, stand alone site = R		
		Distance from BUAB:		
		SHELAA designation: R, A, G		
2	Brownfield, Greenfield,	Infill in residential area = G	G/A	Employment/
	Previously Developed Land,	Brownfield/PDL = <mark>G</mark>		business land
	employment land, Infill	Employment/business land =		Brownfield infill in
	development. Describe.	A A		residential area
		Greenfield (unused		
		farmland/nursery land) = R		
		Greenfield (farmed or		
		wooded) = R		
		Greenfield		
		(scenic/countryside) = R		
3	Existing/previous use (10 years).			Leased for car
	Describe.			valeting for 15
				years +
	Soil type (Grade 1,2,3a,3b,4 or	Soil type 1,2,3a = R		Tarmac surface
	5)	Soil type 3b = A		
		Soil type 4,5 = G		
		_		
	Agricultural history	Agricultural Use:		G
	,	Never = <mark>G</mark>		_
		Recently (last 5 years) = A		
		Current = R		
		_		
	Contamination – have activities	None = <mark>G</mark>		A possible residue
	taken place on the land in the	Yes, but clean-up is possible =		from car yard
	past that could have left the site	A		, , , , ,
	contaminated? Describe.	Yes, difficult to clean up = R		
4	Surrounding land uses –	,		Housing &
	housing, business, fields, density			businesses
	of any adjoining development.			33
	Provide details.			
5	Site boundaries eg hedgerow,			Walls/fences
٦	Site Bournauries of Heagerow,			vvalis/ icrices

	trees, fences, watercourses		possible
	trees, rences, watercourses		watercourse to
			the rear
	Flood Diele /franc Franciscome and	Nege	
6	Flood Risk (from Environment	None = G	G
	Agency defined Zones). Provide	Low risk = A	
	details.	Medium Risk = R	
		High Risk = R	
	Has the site suffered any surface		No
	water flooding? Where does		
	surface water flow to? Describe		
7	Are there any potential impacts		
	on:		
	Score each impact separately.	_	_
	a. Heritage - conservation areas,	None = <mark>G</mark>	<mark>G</mark>
	archaeological sites, Listed	Near the site (give distance) =	
	buildings, locally important		
	historic buildings, ancient	Within the site = R	
	monuments		
	b. Trees/ancient woodland – will		G
	any trees/woodland be affected		
	by development, TPO numbers		
	c. Ecology – could the site be		<mark>G</mark>
	home to protected		
	species/habitats eg bats, great		
	crested newts		
	d. Green/open spaces – would		G
	development affect any existing		
	amenity space		
	e. PROW – are any affected by		
	the development. Would any be		G
	improved or new routes be		
	provided		
	f. Will the development		G
	decrease the separation of		•
	settlements. Describe.		
	g. Are any SSSI's, SNCI's or other		G
	recognised designations affected		
	by the development		
	h. Other		
	Describe impacts		
	Describe inipacts		
8	Proximity to village/local	Within 250m = G	
	services (by recognised	Within 250m to 1km = A	
	footways/roads not PROW):	Over 1km = R	

			<mark>A</mark> 300m
1	a. BP Garage b. Co-op (London Road shops)		A 300m
	c. School		A 600m
	d. Community Centre		A 550m
	e. Red Lion Pub		A 625m
	f. Nearest bus stop on London		G 60m London
	Road, Metrobus no23 (give		Road
	location)		Noau
	g. nearest childrens play area		A 500m Turnpike
	(give name)		Way
	h. Health Services eg GP surgery		R Storrington
9	Topography of land (or other	Broadly level = G	
9	known physical constraint eg	Slightly Sloping = A	G
	, ,		
	unstable land, geology). Provide details	Steep slope = R	
10	Landscape – is the site viewable	Big impact = R	G G
10	from Public Rights of Way, does	Some impact but mitigation is	<u> </u>
	the site have an adverse impact	possible = A	
	on the landscape, can it be	No impact = G	
	viewed from nearby hills and	No impact – u	
	· ·		
	· ·		
11		No applications/refusals = G	G
	, , , ,		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	p.cgomation	<u> </u>	
		_	
12	Any access, transport, traffic		G
	, ,		
	would the site be accessed? Is	,,	
	•		
13	•	Site is already connected = G	G
	being served by necessary	·	
	utilities? Do utilities providers	some infrastructure	
	have the capacity to serve the		
	•		
		connected to necessary	
	De required at some stage so	utilities without incurring	
	you may wish to make enquiries.	significant costs or without	
11 13	SDNP. How will the landscape be protected, give details Planning History – any previous approvals/refusals, applications, appeals. Any relevant previous planning information. Any access, transport, traffic and/or parking related issues relevant to development? How would the site be accessed? Is access via an adopted highway? Can the site be accessed by bicycle or on foot? Describe Is the site served or capable of being served by necessary utilities? Do utilities providers	expansion may be required = A The site could not easily be connected to necessary	G G

		significant upgrade to a utilities provider's network =		
14	What is your overall vision for the site? Houses, business, retail, medical etc. We do not require detailed proposals at this stage – just a brief description of your vision.		Possible Community use eg community building or housing development to raise funds for community project elsewhere in the village	e

Name and position of person completing this form: Karen Dare, Neighbourhood Plan Clerk

Date: 10th November 2016

Site Assessment Summary document (to be completed by Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group) SITE NO. & Site name
Site description:
Landowners Vision:
Development (housing, business etc) envisaged by Steering Group:
Availability:
Site Score (Number of <mark>R</mark> , <mark>A</mark> , <mark>G</mark>)
Selection decision:
Other comments: