



### Summary of Representations made on the Regulation 16 version of the Ashington Neighbourhood Development Plan (ANDP)

1. This document provides a summary of the representations submitted in accordance with Regulation 16 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 to the Ashington Neighbourhood Development Plan (ANDP). This document is produced in compliance with the Neighbourhood Plan (Referendum) Regulations 2012.
2. Horsham District Council (HDC) published the ANDP for consultation for 8 weeks 17 June to 12 August 2020 in accordance with Part 5 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. Representations were submitted during the publicity period by 83 respondents. The representations were received from statutory consultees, developers, their agents, and other organisations.
3. Set out below is a summary of the issues raised in the representations. The Horsham District Council Representation can be seen in full on our website by following this link: <https://www.horsham.gov.uk/planning/neighbourhood-planning/ashington>

Rep	Name/ Organisation	Date received	Method of submission	Summary of representation
1	Resident (Ref 1)	05/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). There are no support services in Ashington e.g. medical, so is another strain on the community. Dormant place, people travel out for employment, and need a car to live here so therefore more traffic, noise and air pollution. Location of residential allocations are at the back of the village so cars have to pass through.
2	Resident (Ref 2)	05/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Access to the sites are via Rectory Lane and Church Road, that are unsuitable for more traffic. Asked whether consideration has been given to a through road to Billingshurst. Parking in the village is already a big issue. Will the school expand with the new residential dwellings being built? Would like to see a reduction in dwellings, on the Nurseries site and higher proportion of affordable homes.

3	Resident (Ref 3)	06/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (support with modifications). Reminder that there are a lot of elderly people who want smaller homes in the village. Hope will not forget to build appropriate small accommodation for retired people, who want to stay in the village.
4	Resident (Ref 4)	06/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Proposal has inadequate access to and from Church Road to the School. Roads are very busy currently at rush hour and on the A24. The village infrastructure is inadequate to support additional dwellings; school cannot take more. Unacceptable level of noise on the Church and surrounding area.
5	Resident (Ref 5)	06/08/2020	Representation form	Objection. Concern over traffic and congestion along Rectory Lane and Church Lane. Infrastructure also a concern. Development should be compliant with Affordable Housing provision if the site is allocated.
6	Resident (Ref 6)	06/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). More homes with no increase in amenities, access with environmental impact, waste. Drainage needs improving. Local people need longer to respond to plans. Need a better site with bigger schools, roads and local infrastructure.
7	Resident (Ref 7)	06/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Oppose the impact of developments on the school and church area and surroundings. Development in the Church Farm area could mean removal of trees and changing the look of the village. Traffic in this area has a huge effect on health and safety of residents. Access via Rectory Lane will help minimise disruption.
8	Resident (Ref 8)	05/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Building very close to the school, removing all woodland used by the school. No mention of expanding school that already has a waiting list. Church Lane has problems with traffic and dangerous narrow footpath. It is a village and it should not be turned into a town with bad facilities.
9	Resident (Ref 9)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Already an issue with too many vehicles in the village. More homes, more cars. Ashington has no doctors and dentists and people have to travel for services.
10	Resident (Ref 10)	07/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Building more houses in a village with no amenities; medical, access, shopping, recreation. No adequate parking and/or emergency access, need to think

				about safety. Need adequate access, medical services, education and centralised social amenities.
11	Resident (Ref 11)	06/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). The shops and school are not big enough. To support the development, the bus service is not good enough. More cars mean more pollution and congestion. Over development. Do not build anymore until there are more amenities and the school is big enough.
12	Resident (Ref 12)	06/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Do not believe that Ashington is well equipped to increase the local population. Properties are situated away from facilities, so will need to drive. Shops do not have adequate car parking and will destroy peaceful atmosphere. Concerns that the primary school is big enough.
13	Resident (Ref 13)	06/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4. Southern water has notified residents that Ashington is at risk of water shortage. Where will the water services for new dwellings come from? Insufficient parking and roads that are hard for larger vehicles to pass. Majority of people will have to commute out for work. Need for light industry, shops and things for younger generation/recreation.
14	Resident (Ref 14)	06/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Overdevelopment of Ashington. Site has poor links to the A24 and would create extra traffic, parking issues in school and church area.
15	Resident (Ref 15)	06/08/2020	Representation form	Worried that development will ruin the area they live. No commercial infrastructure to support any more cars. Concerns that Church Lane will be ruined from development, and concerns over the church itself. The village needs a long term new centre to support even the current population. Want to explore other possibilities of sites and then build services and infrastructure as necessary.
16	Resident (Ref 16)	08/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Overdevelopment of village, plan does not allow for expansion of school or other facilities. Increase in traffic through village and to A24. Previous application on ASH10 was refused. Re-assess site off Billingshurst Road and reduce number of homes on sites.
17	Resident (Ref 17)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Overdevelopment of village. Sites ASH10 and 11 have no direct

				link to A24 so will increase traffic through village. Insufficient school capacity and no consideration of drainage.
18	Resident (Ref 18)	07/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Need more shops, medical centre, buses, public conveniences, café so can meet other people. Parking and congestion will be increased.
19	Resident (Ref 19)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). The sites have no links to A24, and will create traffic on lanes. Poor public transport and all new homes will have cars. Facilities are oversubscribed already. School is full and children will have to go elsewhere to school creating more traffic issues.
20	Resident (Ref 20)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Poor access to both sites through narrow residential roads that are already congested. Close to Grade II building, capacity of school is full. Concerns on overdevelopment of small village. Lack of local amenities.
21	Resident (Ref 21)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4. Where is the infrastructure? Would like to speak to someone re – ANDP.
22	Resident (Ref 22)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4. Where is the infrastructure? Would like to speak to someone re – ANDP.
23	Resident (Ref 23)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Access to the site via Church lane is not suitable as road is too narrow and already heavily utilised. Lane is used by scouts, church, football and youth club, with all young people attending. Could create safety issue.
24	Resident (Ref 24)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Schools/traffic are going to increase, lack of doctors in village, supermarket too small.
25	Resident (Ref 25)	14/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Disproportionate amount of housing to local amenities, road access and design of new development is a concern, school children will be surrounded by development, no public transport links or link to A24. Should build fewew, nicer houses, do not need thousands of affordable homes.
26	Resident (Ref 26)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Village does not have amenities (school/doctors) to cope with additional homes and people.

27	Resident (Ref 27)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Village does not have amenities (school/doctors) to cope with additional homes and people.
28	Resident (Ref 28)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH10: Chanctonbury Nursery and ASH11: Land west of Ashington School (object). Sites have poor links to A24 and will increase traffic/parking through village. Access from Church lane and similarly Rectory Lane. Sites will increase population of school children and will need expansion. Would suggest land north of America Wood, has good access, footpaths to centre of village.
29	Resident (Ref 29)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington. Sites 5 and 6 surround school when it is first time buyers who will need to travel. Put houses where young people can get to jobs and still work to village.
30	Resident (Ref 30)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington. We need housing for young people, we do not need them to be by the school. Need a good road to get to work. Need to get to a shop i.e. BP garage for easy food.
31	Resident (Ref 31)	11/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Oppose development at the school as traffic will increase in this area, high possibility of accidents. Concerns over added health risk from pollution in the village. Need low emission zone, reduced speed limit.
32	Resident (Ref 32)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Do not think site 5 and 6 are suitable. Narrow access and will create ring fencing of school. Future expansion should be to the north, with direct access to B2133 and A24.
33	Resident (Ref 33)	11/08/2020	Representation form	Do not think site 5 and 6 will work with the current access. Any further sites should be made north of the village.
34	Resident (Ref 34)	07/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Do not support development to south of village around Church. Roads are too narrow and school will be enclosed. Sites to the north are able to supply improved road management to A24. Less pressure on south of village.
35	Resident (Ref 35)	08/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Do not support development to south of the village. Impact on church and the school cannot expand. Better options to the north of Ashington. Remove site 5 and 6 from plan.
36	Resident (Ref 36)	08/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Site 5 and 6 to the south of the village, the roads are not suitable and cause

				additional traffic. No room for expansion of school. Support sites to the north, with better access and walking distance to local amenities.
37	Resident (Ref 37)	08/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Building next to school is unnecessary, better options to the north of village and safer. Centre of village should be kept safe for children.
38	Resident (Ref 38)	12/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). More congestion in the village. More affordable homes on the outside of the village i.e Oast House Farm would be better solution.
39	Resident (Ref 39)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Access to site via Church Lane is not suitable. The road is too narrow, heavily used already. Young people attending clubs on this lane at different times. Additional traffic is a threat to safety.
40	Resident (Ref 40)	12/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). The access to site 5 and 6 is impractical. The school will be surrounded and unable to expand. Keep development to north of village, access to A24.
41	Resident (Ref 41)	09/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Need to protect the school and heart of village. Road access is unsuitable, concerns over construction traffic passing Grade II listed Church. Use land accessed through Billingshurst road.
42	Resident (Ref 42)	07/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). More development in Ashington should be to the north of the village which has good road access. Development near church/school will have access along Rectory Lane. Further expansion could be considered to the north as it has reasonable access via footpath.
43	Resident (Ref 43)	07/08/2020	Representation form	Do not like sites 5 and 6. Access would be dangerous to village. Very disruptive and concerns over accidents. The B2133 towards Billingshurst would be better consideration.
44	Resident (Ref 44)	Redacted	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Unsuitable roads and the increase of traffic. The church is Grade II and should be protected. Roads congested already at peak times. Use land north of village with better roads.
45	Resident (Ref 45)	Redacted	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Main access would be past grade II listed church, concerns over potential damage. Roads to site are not big enough, plus the increase in traffic. Development blocks school so cannot expand. New houses will be nearer to school so will be able to get into school. General

				traffic concerns. Nothing proposed for current community. Land north of Ashington has better roads, access, distance to amenities, footpaths and less visually intrusive.
46	Resident (Ref 46)	09/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Do not think the area around school and church is appropriate. Extra traffic and construction. School already oversubscribed. Better sites around village i.e. to the north, with better access and can walk to facilities.
47	Resident (Ref 47)	09/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington (object). Do not like site 5 and 6, concerns over construction noise and extra traffic. Expansion should be to north of village, with access to B2133/A24.
48	Resident (Ref 48)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Loss of open countryside, wildlife has not been taken into account. Cannot get it back once it has gone. Development will add extra traffic, and more people will make more journeys, adding pollution levels. Should use brownfield sites first.
49	Resident (Ref 49)	09/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Site has poor links to A24. Traffic through village will increase.
50	Resident (Ref 50)	09/09/2020	Representation form	Made particular representation to Policy ASH10: Chanctonbury Nursery and paragraphs 8.7 – 8.11. Access through Rectory Lane already has a lot of traffic, and concern over accidents and damage caused to cars parked here. Road is not suited to higher number of cars, cars mount pavements. Need vast improvements to road with speed reduction/calming and pavement is in a bad state. Need road widening, speed reduction and wider pavements.
51	West Sussex County Council – Services	Redacted	Email	Look for Neighbourhood Plans to be in conformity with the District Development Plan, and aligns any infrastructure plans with this document. Take account of the suite of WSCC documents: Minerals Plan, Transport Plan and Policy for management of surface water. County Council worked with HDC on the TA, which identified an additional travel demand due to development. The A24 and A264 identified as major impacts of these sites. Could be mitigated by highway improvements and sustainable transport measures. Mitigation will take place during development, and is cited in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP).
52	Natural England	08/07/2020	Email	Natural England does not have any specific comments on the Ashington Neighbourhood Plan.
53	Resident (Ref 53)	19/06/2020	Representation form	Concerned over quantity of housing, the houses in Ashington are overpriced and hard to sell. Not suitable for first time buyers. Existing access is unsafe and narrow, not enough car parking. Facilities in village are not adequate, no doctor's surgery, one local shop with insufficient parking. Inadequate footpaths to school and centre. Traffic is getting busier, with

				no cross walks for children. Infrastructure not fit; transport, access, footpaths, parks, school, medical, shops.
54	UK Power Networks	Redacted	Email	Reviewed ANDP, we do not anticipate any impacts on assets. Networks offered their services for new connections or connection upgrades.
55	Sports England	Redacted	Email	Essential that plans are in conformity with NPPF paras 96 and 97. This means that positive planning for sport, protection from the unnecessary loss of sports facilities, along with an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment land with community facilities is important. Sport England works with local authorities to ensure their Local Plan is underpinned by robust and up to date evidence. Any new housing developments will generate additional demand for sport. If existing sports facilities do not have the capacity to absorb the additional demand, then planning policies should look to ensure that new sports facilities.
56	Resident (Ref 56)	Redacted	Email	Any infilling into existing communities all have existing infrastructure problems. Lack of appreciation and honesty on school, medical limitations. See no alternative to getting over 200 dwellings into village. An acceptance that CIL money should also contribute to existing infrastructure. Needs joint up thinking.
57	Thakeham Parish Council	Redacted	Email	Welcomes key features of the draft ANDP, including allocation of 225 dwellings and that the Built up area boundary (BUAB) has been redrawn to exclude sites of open land. Suggestion that ANDP should make commitment to seek opportunities for green energy (solar, wind) particularly to proposed new community buildings. If ANDP states intention, then it may be realised through S106 agreements. Allowing PC to deploy CIL for other community projects. Intention around environmental performance on houses/community facilities e.g. electric charging points at standard. Link to emerging HDC Local Plan Review.
58	Southern Water	Redacted	Email	Note that a majority of comments made in response to Regulation 14 have been addressed, and therefore no further comments to make.
59	Resident (Ref 59)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Spatial strategy of ANDP was to retain rural nature of village. Site 5 and 6 go against this strategy, being on greenfield land. Whole village will feel impact of this development. Concerns over the Transport Assessment (TA), and that is gives an unrealistic view of the number of vehicles that already use access/junction. Concerns on construction traffic; neither Church or Rectory Lane have been designed for heavy vehicles, both are very narrow. Southern Water have been consulted, with no further plans to upgrade infrastructure for water/sewage. Regular issues at western end of Church Lane.



60	Resident (Ref 60)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Figure 2.6 shows that Ashington has a higher than average cars per household. The plans do not address link roads directly to London Road. Live nearby to proposed junction on Church Road so have concerns over traffic problems already witnessed. Report does not consider; seasonal/event traffic, bicycle traffic, pedestrian safety with increased cars, increase in pollution (noise/air). Need an alternative entrance away from Church, school and Church Lane.
61	Resident (Ref 61)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Figure 2.6 shows that Ashington has a higher than average cars per household. The plans do not address link roads directly to London Road. Live nearby to proposed junction on Church Road so have concerns over traffic problems already witnessed. Report does not consider; seasonal/event traffic, bicycle traffic, pedestrian safety with increased cars, increase in pollution (noise/air). Need an alternative entrance away from Church, school and Church Lane.
62	Resident (Ref 62)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Spatial strategy of ANDP was to retain rural nature of village. Site 5 and 6 goes against this strategy, being on greenfield land. Whole village will feel impact of this development. Concerns over the Transport Assessment (TA), and that is gives an unrealistic view of the number of vehicles that already use access/junction. Concerns on construction traffic; neither Church or Rectory Lane have been designed for heavy vehicles, both are very narrow. Southern Water have been consulted, with no further plans to upgrade infrastructure for water/sewage. Regular issues at western end of Church Lane.
63	Resident (Ref 63)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Spatial strategy of ANDP was to retain rural nature of village. Site 5 and 6 goes against this strategy, being on greenfield land. Whole village will feel impact of this development. Concerns over the Transport Assessment (TA), and that is gives an unrealistic view of the number of vehicles that already use access/junction. Concerns on construction traffic; neither Church or Rectory Lane have been designed for heavy vehicles, both are very narrow. Southern Water have been consulted, with no further plans to upgrade infrastructure for water/sewage. Regular issues at western end of Church Lane.
64	Resident (Ref 64)	10/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Access via Church Lane is not suitable, the road is too narrow, heavily used already. A scout hall, football club, youth club all use this lane. All young people, and it could present a threat to safety.
65	Diocese of Chichester	11/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representation to Policy ASH11: Land west of Ashington School. The existing proposal allocates land adjoining Ashington school. Current housing allocations do not generate the need for expansion, if future plans lead to further village growth, it is likely

				primary provision will be required. Diocese is supportive of working with WSCC Education to secure the opportunity for Ashington School to expand as the most common sense approach. To ensure future sustainability of the school, to serve local community.
66	Resident (Ref 66)	08/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). The development will increase the volume of traffic in the village and making the main road more dangerous. Should exit road on the Billingshurst Road so does not put more pressure on the existing structure. Include a doctor's surgery and an upgrade to the school.
67	Resident (Ref 67)	11/08/2020	Representation form	Where will additional children attend school, para 2.20 also noted 'limited shopping facilities', that have been further stretched through Covid-19. Additional housing in ANDP will cause increased traffic due to limited public transport. Sites 5 and 6 contribute further to surface flooding in Mill Lane. These sites also contribute to sprawl to the west, little connectivity to village. Greenfields with ancient hedgerows, biodiversity and wildlife. Access is not appropriate, TA did not consider farm traffic. Church Close is not wide enough to accommodate two services vehicles. Figure 8.2 marks sport pitches that Historic England designated as historically important. Village requires more amenities, protect roman ancient villa, school expansion.
68	Resident (Ref 68)	Redacted	Email	HNDP based on false information, village does not have a hospital, do not have bus links to Brighton or vast infrastructure to support this kind of development. Access to A24 and Billingshurst Road is already difficult. Mid Sussex water supply crisis and new reservoirs should be considered.
69	Resident (Ref 69)	Redacted	Representation form	Too many additional homes over the years, that has increased parking and traffic. London road is very busy and noisy. More traffic will increase localised pollution. The school will require expansion to accommodate children from proposed housing. Lack of facilities, only one shop. Lack of utilities; Southern Water notes the reservoir resources being very low. Wildlife/countryside will be destroyed.
70	ECE Planning	12/08/2020	Email	Support the inclusion of Land west of Ashington School and the wider ANDP moving forward. The site has many benefits as a multi-use game area (MUGA), grass football pitch, provision of land and CIL monies for sports pavilion and youth club, allotments, parking and overspill, new homes to meet community needs, new public open space, footpaths/cycle ways, retention of forest school area. The representation goes on to add context and commentary on the Reg 16 ASH11 Policy. All development will be phased to align with the necessary infrastructure required to serve it.

				The representation also links to a masterplan, a technical transport note and heritage assessments.
71	Barton Willmore obo Devine Homes, Diocese of Chichester and Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd	12/08/2020	Email	<p>Representations made in regards to the landowner who has interests in Land to the north of Ashington. Sites were previously looked at separately, but have come together as a consortium of sites; 4, 10, 22, 23 and 27.</p> <p>Concerns over the date that the Housing Needs Assessment (HNA) was carried out (July 2017) and is contrary to national guidance. Also the Horsham Local Plan Review that is adopting the standard methodology, which may increase the housing figure for Ashington. It is necessary that the plan adopts a review mechanism to take the above into account.</p> <p>The representation comments on wording of several policies; ASH5 and sympathetic landscape setting. ASH11 is only supported by a masterplan and does not have specifics on where facilities will be located. Full consideration should be given to; landscape, flooding, heritage and ecology.</p> <p>The representation states that they do not agree with the outcome of the Sustainability Appraisal and associated scoring. In particular, to do with landscape, agricultural land classification and transport and access. Believe that there needs safeguarding of school land to the west of Ashington.</p>
72	Resident (Ref 72)	10/08/2020	Representation form	<p>Made particular representation to Chapter 8: Site Allocations (object). Village has limited amenities; doctors, dentists, parking for shop, few employment opportunities and transport links. Crowded road infrastructure, especially to Billingshurst Road and Rectory Lane. Ashington cannot sustain such expansion. In Local Plan review, note 400 home suggested for north of Rectory Lane – this would be absurd increase and make roads pressured and dangerous. Understand development of ASH10: Chanctonbury Nurseries, as using a brownfield site.</p>
73	Historic England	Redacted	Email	<p>One of the most interesting NDPs to come forward in the South East in recent years. Both the Parish Council and landowner responded quickly to our Regulation 14 comments in regards to potential site allocations. Impact on the scheduled ancient monument (SAM) adjacent to site 5, listed farmhouse and non-designated moated site surround.</p> <p>Remains of the Roman villa extends to the west, along with other areas of potential linear remains. With present evidence we consider this site to be of national interest, and therefore require application of Policy set out in footnote 63 of the NPPF.</p>

				<p>Discussions with the PC, HDC and landowners confirmed an agreement. To provide public open space within a wider development allowing for management regime to reduce potential damage. Support the updated Policy ASH11.</p> <p>Concerns on the potential harm to listed church, due to access road. Require protection of the church yard wall during development phase. Requirement for design of highways, landscaping to provide suitably sensitive design/gateway to development.</p>
74	Plainview Planning obo Context Land	12/08/2020	Representation form	<p>Made particular representation to ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington, ASH11: Land West of Ashington School and ASH10: Chanctonbury Nursery (object).</p> <p>ASH1, the objections are to the use of BUAB and proposed housing numbers. In regards to the BUAB, the main concern is that a 'line' does not make any site less sustainable or problematic, especially depending on when sites are adjacent. Seeking a more flexible approach to those on a small scale. Housing numbers and the standard methodology would see an increase in housing within Horsham District. There should be an uplift of housing in the neighbourhood plan.</p> <p>ASH11 the site is large, but given the site constraints it is much smaller in size. Heritage, access and landscape impacts must be considered. This is similar for ASH5. The site is nearby to an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and the South Downs National Park. Testament to the areas landscape beauty. ASH10 uses the word 'approximately' instead of minimum when discussing housing numbers. It should be minimum to deal with uplift. The representation also has maps, masterplan as appendices.</p>
75	Daniel Wantley obo DevAssist	07/08/2020	Email	<p>Representing Land to the South of Rectory Lane. Identified as a medium village by HDC, councils conclude that the capacity of the sites to the north is 600 dwellings. The current provision in the ANDP has less than half of this amount. It is apparent that development north of Rectory lane is not desirable, as it is open countryside greenfield.</p> <p>The representation goes on to give site specifics on the Land south of Rectory Lane, regarding size, landscape, ecology for example. It notes it is easy accessible and opportunity to enhance the landscaping of the site and retain enclosure feel. Should be allocated within the ANDP for c: 70 dwellings.</p>
76	Henry Adams obo Mr Woolley	11/08/2020	Email	<p>Representing part of the land west of Ashington site, identified for approx. 150 dwellings. Support for the proposed allocations, client wishes to see a comprehensive design approach that responds to the character and setting of Ashington. This representation sits alongside the main/joint submission. The future site allocation should be subject to further site specific survey work, and local community consultation.</p>

				The landowner will also undertake local consultation to inform future layout of the site. Which may require further amendments to the distribution of land uses on site. Along with the representation; maps, a masterplan, geophysical survey, heritage assessment and the joint response was submitted.
77	Resident (Ref 77)	12/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4. No opposition to 225 dwellings, but that is a lot of infrastructure for a small village. Limited bus services, extra cars from new houses on inadequate roads. A24 frequent standstill where facilities are located. Need to go out of village for larger shops, coop in adequate for number of people. Should incorporate cycle facilities into developments, for work and leisure. Concerns over results in the TA. School will need expanding and need to address safety.
78	Woodland Trust	12/08/2020	Representation form	Response complements a more detailed response already made on the draft HDC Local Plan Review. Areas of the Trust's Lost Woods of the Low Weald and Downs project, which works to restore and reconnect ancient woodlands. The representation goes on to comment on Policy ASH2, ASH5, ASH10 and ASH11. Welcome the links to PROW and bridleways in ASH2. Disappointed that ASH5 does not comment on Ancient Woodlands, in relation to the small section in America Wood. ASH10 small recommendation to note the mature trees, and new planting to support green infrastructure. ASH11 support the retention of the Forest School activities, suggest wording to strengthen policy.
79	Resident (Ref 79)	12/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Church lane is too narrow to cope with extra traffic, already has too much. Additional cars impact accessibility, including for emergency vehicles. TA has inaccurate results, not done during school time. Alternative access routes should be considered. Church is well used, lack of parking is a concern, school is oversubscribed, limited local facility parking. Church lane house prices will be negatively affected. There will be an increase in pollution.
80	Resident (Ref 80)	12/08/2020	Representation form	Made particular representations to Policy ASH1: Overall Spatial Strategy for Ashington and paragraphs 4.3, 4.4 (object). Church lane is too narrow to cope with extra traffic, already has too much. Additional cars impact accessibility, including for emergency vehicles. TA has inaccurate results, not done during school time. Alternative access routes should be considered. Church is well used, lack of parking is a concern, school is oversubscribed, limited

				local facility parking. Church lane house prices will be negatively affected. There will be an increase in pollution.
81	Environment Agency	Redacted	Email	Note that the ANDP allocates two housing sites; Policy ASH10 and ASH11. Proposals have been directed to the areas at the lowest probability of flooding, and located in Flood Zone 1. Pleasd that the allocations reflect alignment of development with existing infrastructure. Note that it is feasible to mitigate flood risk in Mill Lane. Option of enlarging the culvert, and divert flow to Rectory Lane, and Church Lane (section 6.20 on the map). The representation goes on the explain flood risk and that developers should seek advice from the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and/or HDC in regards to mitigation.
82	Horsham District Council	10/08/2020	Email	Recognise the significant amount of work put into the ANDP. The representation notes that Ashington is seen as medium village in the settlement hierarchy. That all NDPs agreed to taking a portion of the 1500 homes noted in the HDPF. HDC are also undertaking a Local Plan Review, HDC will keep all neighbourhood planning groups updated on the progress of this. HDC also noted WSCC pupil forecasting and that WSCC were seeking 0.54ha of safeguarded land.  The main proportion of the representation makes general suggestions for amendments to policy wording. The other point is in regards to that the ANDP makes no reference to Monitoring or Reviewing of the Plan. It is HDC understanding that the Parish Council will seek to review this iteration of the ANDP once the Local Plan Review has been to examination/adopted by HDC.
83	Surrey County Council	Redacted	Email	We have reviewed the document and do not have any comments to make.